

REVIEWED CROSS REFERENCED
ATTACHED:

ER 85-3115/4

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP

ER

TO:

| | | ACTION | INFO | DATE | INITIAL |
|----------|----------|--------|------|------|---------|
| 1 | DCI | | | | |
| 2 | DDCI | | | | |
| 3 | EXDIR | | | | |
| 4 | D/ICS | | | | |
| 5 | DDI | | | | |
| 6 | DDA | | | | |
| 7 | DDO | | | | |
| 8 | DDS&T | | | | |
| 9 | Chm/NIC | | | | |
| 10 | GC | | | | |
| 11 | IG | | | | |
| 12 | Compt | | | | |
| 13 | D/OLL | | | | |
| 14 | D/PAO | | | | |
| 15 | VC/NIC | | | | |
| 16 | NIO/ECON | | X | | |
| 17 | D/OGI | | X | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| SUSPENSE | | Date | | | |

Remarks

STAT

Executive Secretary

19 Sept 85

Date

Executive Registry

85- 3115/6

**THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON**

CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM

Date: 9/19/85 **Number:** ----- **Due By:** -----

Subject: Economic Policy Council Minutes: August 27 and September 4, 1985

| | Action | FYI | | Action | FYI |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ALL CABINET MEMBERS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | CEA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Vice President | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | CEQ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| State | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | OSTP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Treasury | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Defense | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justice | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Interior | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Agriculture | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | McFarlane | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Commerce | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Svahn | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Labor | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Chew (For WH Staffing) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| HHS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Hicks | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| HUD | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Transportation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Energy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Chief of Staff | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Education | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| OMB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Executive Secretary for: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <u>CIA</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | DPC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| UN | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | EPC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| USTR | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| GSA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| EPA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| NASA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| OPM | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| VA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SBA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

REMARKS:

Attached for your information are the minutes of the following Economic Policy Council meetings:

August 27, 1985
September 4, 1985

RETURN TO:

☒ Alfred H. Kingon
Cabinet Secretary
456-2823
(Ground Floor, West Wing)

☐ Don Clarey
☐ Rick Davis
☐ Ed Stucky

Associate Director
Office of Cabinet Affairs

DCI
EXEC
REG

L-300B

MINUTES
ECONOMIC POLICY COUNCIL

August 27, 1985

2:00 p.m.

Roosevelt Room

Attendees: Messrs. Baker, Brock, Yeutter, McFarlane, Wright, Whitehead, Norton, Burnley, Anderson, Kingon, McAllister, Cornell, Driggs, Gould, Holmer, Keel, Khedouri, McMinn, Smart, Stucky, and Wigg, and Ms. Risque.

1. Potential Section 301 Investigations

Secretary Baker stated that the purpose of the meeting was two-fold: to determine (1) which, if any, of the 10 potential Section 301 investigations prepared by the USTR to recommend to the President; and (2) when the Section 301 investigations initiated by the President should be announced.

Ambassador Yeutter outlined the 10 potential 301 investigations. He stated that the Japan leather and leather footwear and the EC canned fruit Section 301 investigations have already been initiated and the question is whether to move forward much more rapidly. The canned fruit case does not involve much trade volume and action would be pursued more as a matter of principle. The leather case has a long history, and a resolution should be vigorously pursued. The Japanese have offered to replace their GATT illegal quotas with GATT legal tariffs and compensation, but that is not acceptable to the United States because it does not open the Japanese market.

Ambassador Yeutter stated that in his opinion the Japanese tobacco case is a good one, albeit with the stigma of smoking. It possesses the offsetting benefit of affecting a textile producing region of the country. American manufacturers cannot produce tobacco products in Japan and the distribution of tobacco products in Japan is controlled by a monopoly. He noted that the insurance industry is prepared to request a Section 301 investigation with regard to access to Korean markets. Such a case would affect a service industry with a potential large trade volume. Ambassador Yeutter stated that the Brazil informatics case is a clear violation of GATT with a large potential trade volume. He noted also that Brazil would benefit from a Presidential decision to impose footwear quotas.

Minutes
Economic Policy Council
August 27, 1985
Page two

Ambassador Yeutter stated that the U.K. restrictions on firms servicing the North Sea oil fields case is less attractive because U.S. firms are working to enter the market through joint ventures, and would oppose a Section 301 investigation. A Section 301 case on West German trans-border data flows would not affect much trade volume. Representatives of the American aluminum industry are prepared to file a petition for a 301 investigation into Japanese policies discriminating against U.S. aluminum products. He noted that Taiwan has expressed a willingness to address U.S. concerns regarding the protection of intellectual property rights, which argues against initiating a Section 301 investigation. He stated that the EC case on subsidized wheat and barley sales to the Soviet Union possesses the largest potential effect on trade volume, but has some practical drawbacks. He concluded that the case may be premature and more study is warranted.

Ambassador Yeutter stated that the President might set a December 1 timetable for the leather and canned fruit cases to ensure prompt resolution. He observed that non-GATT cases can be concluded in one year, with GATT cases requiring two years.

Secretary Brock pointed out that when Congress returns it will likely pass footwear and textile legislation. To sustain the Administration's policy, we will have to forcefully attack unfair trade practices. He suggested that if we take action against the EC on wheat and barley subsidies, it be on a global basis, not just the Soviet market.

Secretary Baker offered as a proposition that the Administration must adopt an aggressive posture against unfair foreign trade practices by vigorously enforcing our laws against unfair trade. All members of the Council agreed with the proposition.

Mr. Whitehead cautioned against initiating a Section 301 investigation against Brazil because of the seriousness of its debt problem. He noted that the Brazilian Finance Minister and the Governor of the Central Bank resigned yesterday because of their disappointment at Brazil's failure to follow the austerity plan imposed by the IMF. Other Council members noted that an investigation against Brazil would take at least one year, so there would be no immediate action against Brazil. Brazil would also benefit from a decision not to impose footwear quotas.

Minutes
Economic Policy Council
August 27, 1985
Page three

The Council's discussion focused on the likelihood that the U.S. might have to retaliate if negotiations fail in resolving the Section 301 cases. Some members questioned whether the Administration would retaliate and against what practices. Mr. Keel argued that retaliation is not in the interest of the U.S. because it closes our markets. Secretary Baker noted that our trading partners must see us as willing to take retaliatory measures or we will be unsuccessful in opening their markets.

Mr. Keel stated that he urges undertaking a minimum number of Section 301 investigations and establishing rigorous guidelines for initiating such cases, to avoid hundreds of requests for more Section 301 initiatives.

The Council discussed several criteria by which to evaluate potential Section 301 investigations, including timing, trade volume, likelihood of retaliation, and constituency involved. Secretary Brock suggested that the Japanese aluminum case is a good one, particularly because organized labor would be affected.

The Council also discussed the possibility that Section 301 investigations would lead to a trade war. Several members noted that only the EC can effectively retaliate against the U.S., with the EC wheat and barley case offering the only possibility of igniting a trade war.

Mr. McFarlane urged that the Administration initiate the five cases -- Japan leather, EC canned fruit, Korea insurance, Brazil informatics and Japan tobacco -- as a signal to our trading partners that we are intent in our efforts to eliminate unfair trading practices.

Decision

Secretary Baker polled the Council on the desirability of the following cases -- Japan leather, EC canned fruit, Korea insurance, Brazil informatics and Japan tobacco. All members supported the five cases except OMB, which supported only the EC Japanese leather and EC canned fruit cases, and the State Department, which opposed the Brazil case. Secretary Baker asked Mr. McAllister to poll the members on the Japanese aluminum case. The Council also agreed not to link the Section 301 initiatives with the footwear decision, but noted that the announcement should be made before Congress returns.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

ROUTING SLIP

TO:

| | | ACTION | INFO | DATE | INITIAL |
|----------|----------|--------|------|------|---------|
| 1 | DCI | | | | |
| 2 | DDCI | | | | |
| 3 | EXDIR | | | | |
| 4 | D/ICS | | | | |
| 5 | DDI | | | | |
| 6 | DDA | | | | |
| 7 | DDO | | | | |
| 8 | DDS&T | | | | |
| 9 | Chm/NIC | | | | |
| 10 | GC | | | | |
| 11 | IG | | | | |
| 12 | Compt | | | | |
| 13 | D/OLL | | | | |
| 14 | D/PAO | | | | |
| 15 | VC/NIC | | | | |
| 16 | NIO/ECON | X | | | |
| 17 | D/OGI | | X | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| SUSPENSE | | | | | |

Remarks:

STAT

Executive Secretary
23 Aug 85

Date

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM

Date: 8/23/85 **Number:** 316977CA **Due By:** _____

Subject: Economic Policy Council Meeting - Tuesday, August 27, 1985

2:00 P.M. - Roosevelt Room

| | Action | FYI | | Action | FYI |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ALL CABINET MEMBERS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | CEA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Vice President | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | CEQ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| State | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | OSTP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Treasury | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Defense | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justice | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Interior | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Agriculture | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | McFarlane | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Commerce | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Svahn | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Labor | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Chew (For WH Staffing) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| HHS | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| HUD | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Hicks | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Transportation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Energy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Chief of Staff | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Education | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| OMB | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CIA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Executive Secretary for: | | |
| UN | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | DPC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| USTR | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | EPC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| GSA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| EPA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| NASA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| OPM | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| VA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SBA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

REMARKS:

There will be a meeting of the Economic Policy Council on Tuesday, August 27 at 2:00 P.M. in the Roosevelt Room.

The agenda and background paper are attached.

RETURN TO:

☐ Alfred H. Kingon
Cabinet Secretary
456-2823
(Ground Floor, West Wing)

☐ Don Clarey
☐ Rick Davis
☐ Ed Stucky

Associate Director
Office of Cabinet Affairs

456-2800 (Room 129, OE08)



L-3008

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Executive Registry

85- 3115/4

August 22, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ECONOMIC POLICY COUNCIL

FROM: EUGENE J. MCALLISTER *EM*

SUBJECT: Agenda and Paper for the August 27 Meeting

The agenda and paper for the August 27 meeting of the Economic Policy Council are attached. The meeting is scheduled for 2:00 p.m. in the Roosevelt Room.

The single agenda item is a review of potential Section 301 investigations to be initiated by the President. A list and analyses of ten possible cases, prepared by the Office of the United States Trade Representative, is attached.

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

ECONOMIC POLICY COUNCIL

August 27, 1985

Roosevelt Room

AGENDA

1. Potential Section 301 Investigations

THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE
Executive Office of the President
Washington, D.C. 20508

August 22, 1985

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Economic Policy Council

FROM: Ambassador Clayton Yeutter *cl*

SUBJECT: Section 301 Cases */*

Enclosed are summaries of 10 potential section 301 cases that have been developed on an interagency basis over the past couple of weeks. They are arranged in approximate priority order as we presently evaluate them at USTR though that is obviously subjective. The first two cases, in fact, are already in process, but are included here because they are ripe for acceleration by the U.S.

I will provide further background verbally on all of these when we meet next week. At that time we will wish to evaluate such factors as the flagrancy of the practice, the amount of trade involved, the longevity of the practice, the intensity and tenure of our complaints, our international competitiveness in the product involved, the strength of our case under our own law and international rules, our political and economic relationships with the country involved, etc. This may cause us to alter the priorities. We'll hone the list between now and then and when we meet I'll provide any necessary updates.

CY:bac

Enclosures